

CONSERVATION FUNDING

Cuts to federal conservation budgets won't fly with hunters and anglers. Sportsmen support:



NO FUNDING CUTS TO CONSERVATION IN AN ERA OF PROPOSED BUDGET CUTS AND SHIFTS IN FEDERAL FUNDING PRIORITIES, **87% OF HUNTERS AND ANGLERS DO NOT WANT TO SEE CUTS TO CONSERVATION PROGRAMS**



CHANGING HOW AMERICA PAYS FOR WILDFIRES

79%

SUPPORT POLICY CHANGES THAT WOULD ALLOW THE U.S. FOREST SERVICE TO **TREAT THE LARGEST AND MOST EXPENSIVE WILDFIRES AS NATURAL DISASTERS**. THIS WOULD HELP END THE PRACTICE OF "FIRE BORROWING" OR TAKING FIREFIGHTING COSTS FROM PROGRAMS THAT SUPPORT FOREST HEALTH

OFFSHORE FEES FOR CONSERVATION BENEFITS
79% SUPPORT USING SOME OF THE MONEY FROM OFFSHORE OIL AND GAS DRILLING FEES FOR CONSERVATION AND ACCESS TO OUTDOOR RECREATION



INCREASED FUNDING FOR WILDLIFE AND MIGRATION CORRIDORS
70% SUPPORT INCREASING FUNDING FOR HIGHWAY CROSSINGS AND FENCES TO HELP PREVENT VEHICLE COLLISIONS WITH WILDLIFE



95 PERCENT

WANT TO ENSURE THERE IS ADEQUATE FUNDING AND PERSONNEL TO TAKE CARE OF PUBLIC LANDS AND PROVIDE SERVICES FOR VISITORS

IN TRUMP COUNTRY

CONSERVATIVES WANT TO INVEST IN CONSERVATION

71%

of Republicans support conservation on private lands through Farm Bill programs, such as the Conservation Reserve Program

80%

REPS

77%

DEMS

Want fees from offshore drilling to pay for conservation and access to public lands

76%

of Republican sportsmen support a fix for "fire borrowing" where costly wildfires are treated as natural disasters



SUPPORT FOR CONSERVATION IN THE FARM BILL
75% SUPPORT PROVIDING FINANCIAL INCENTIVES FOR FARMERS AND RANCHERS TO CONSERVE LAND FOR HABITAT AND CLEAN WATER, OPEN PUBLIC ACCESS FOR HUNTING AND FISHING, AND PRACTICE SUSTAINABLE FARMING AND RANCHING METHODS